WHENEVER. WHEREVER. We'll be there.



April 17, 2024

Board of Commissioners of Public Utilities P.O. Box 21040 120 Torbay Road St. John's, NL A1A 5B2

Attention:

Jo-Anne Galarneau

Executive Director and Board Secretary

Dear Ms. Galarneau:

Re: Newfoundland Power's 2025/2026 General Rate Application – Expert Report

On February 5, 2024, the Board issued Order No. P.U. 5 (2024), the procedural order on Newfoundland Power Inc.'s (the "Company") 2025/2026 General Rate Application (the "Procedural Order"). Schedule B to the Procedural Order established today's date as the deadline for the filing of expert reports and pre-filed evidence.

Please find enclosed a report prepared by Korn Ferry entitled *Executive Compensation Review* (the "Korn Ferry Report"). The Korn Ferry Report provides a market review of the Company's compensation level for its four executive positions. The report: (i) compares executive positions to a comparator group on the basis of similar job content; (ii) compares compensation values to those of the comparator market; (iii) assesses compensation values against the median level of the comparator group; and (iv) analyzes total remuneration.

If you have any questions please contact the undersigned.

Yours truly,

Dominic Foley

Legal Counsel

Enclosures

c. Shirley Walsh

Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro

Dennis Browne, K.C. Browne Fitzgerald Morgan & Avis

Donald Murphy International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, Local 1620

Newfoundland Power Inc.



Executive Compensation Review April 11, 2024

Prepared for







Contents

1.	Project Scope	3
2.	Summary of Observations	4
3.	Methodology: Job Content / Job Evaluation	5
4.	Methodology: Comparator Markets	7
5.	Methodology: Statistical Percentile	7
6.	Methodology: Compensation Elements	8
7.	Compensation Analysis	9
App	pendix A – Consultant Biography	11
App	pendix B – Korn Ferry Hay Guide Chart-Profile Method SM of Job Evaluation	12
App	pendix C – Commercial Industrial Market Participants	13
App	pendix D – Korn Ferry Benefit Valuation Methodology	15



1. Project Scope

Korn Ferry (CA) Ltd. ("**KF**") has been retained by Newfoundland Power Inc. ("**NFP**") to provide a market review of NFP's compensation level for its four senior executive positions:

- 1. President & CEO
- 2. VP Finance & CFO
- 3. VP Engineering & Energy Supply
- 4. VP Customer Operations

The following are the review objectives:

- Comparing NFP executive positions with other executive positions in the comparator market on the basis of similar job content (i.e., evaluation points). This method is consistent with NFP's historical executive compensation principles;
- Comparing NFP compensation values to those of the comparator market consisting
 of a broad selection of Canadian Commercial Industrial organizations. This
 approach is consistent with NFP's historical executive compensation principles;
- Assessing compensation values against the median level (i.e., 50th percentile or P50) of the defined comparator group, which is consistent with NFP's historical executive compensation principles; and
- Analyzing NFP total remuneration including salary, short term incentive, long term incentive and benefits (including pension and perquisites).

This review has been prepared by the Korn Ferry team led by Mr. Wiclif Ma, Senior Client Partner, Korn Ferry Executive Pay and Governance Practice. For references, please see consultant biography in Appendix A.



2. Summary of Observations

- KF believes that it is reasonable for NFP to compare its executive remuneration level against jobs with similar job size (i.e., KF Hay Points) in the broad Canadian Commercial Industrial market as its comparator group.
- KF believes that it is reasonable for NFP to use the median compensation value of the comparator group compensation values as the basis on which to establish its own executive pay standards. This policy of referencing market median is typical in the Canadian marketplace across all industries and sectors.
- NFP average actual salary of the four executives is close to market median.
- As a policy, NFP sets its salary range in +/-15% of the market median. The private sector market tends to either set the salary range +/-20% of the market median or do not set any salary range at the executive level. In general, we observe that NFP's salary range spread tends to be narrower than those that adopted a salary range in the market. NFP's actual salaries are within its structural ranges.
- NFP target short-term incentive (STI) levels, expressed as a % of salary, are lower than the market median.
 - 50% of salary for President & CEO; and
 - 35% of salary for VPs
- NFP average Target Total Cash of the four executives is 7% below market median (P50).
- NFP target long-term incentive (LTI) levels are within the range of market practice among those companies that provide LTI. However, when both LTI providers and non-providers are taken into account, NFP is at or slightly higher than the market median value (P50).
- As such, NFP average Target Total Direct is 4% below market median (P50).
- Compared to the last review in 2021, we observe that compensation levels for the comparator market have rebounded sharply post-pandemic, surpassing the levels in 2018 generally. These reflect:
 - Salary has increased 10% over a three-year period;
 - Minor increases in short-term incentive opportunities (typically expressed as a percentage of salary); and
 - Long-term incentives are back to the pre-pandemic levels.



3. Methodology: Job Content / Job Evaluation

Every organization has unique attributes with respect to business functions, size (e.g., revenues, assets), geographical diversity, ownership, and corporate structure. Furthermore, the jobs within each organization are unique relative to factors such as mandate, reporting relationship, decision-making authority, etc. These attributes should be normalized when an organization compares its jobs to those of the market.

KF uses its job evaluation methodology to "point score" all positions in its compensation database. Job evaluation allows the skills, efforts, and responsibilities of a job to be quantified, such that the resulting points may be used as a comparison proxy that adjusts for the differences between the various comparator organizations and their unique job mandates. Highlights of the KF Method of Job Evaluation are provided in Appendix B.

Each of the four NFP executive positions in scope has been evaluated, and their job evaluation points (i.e., KF Hay Points) are updated as shown in Table 1 below:

Table 1 – NFP Job Evaluations

NFP Jobs	KF Hay Points
President & CEO	2128
VP Finance & CFO	1486
VP Engineering & Energy Supply	1486
VP Customer Operations	1486

^{*****} this portion of the page intentionally left blank *****



For comparison purposes, all other positions in the comparator market are assigned KF Hay Points using the same and consistent methodology. The use of KF Hay Points allows us to expand the selection of comparators by including organizations that are larger or smaller, but are considered relevant to NFP.

For example, the NFP CEO would not be benchmarked directly against the CEO of the larger organizations in our compensation analysis, but to an executive level that would have the same KF Hay Points as the CEO, as illustrated in the diagram below:

	Newfoundland Power	Organization of Similar Size / Complexity	Organization of Larger Size / Complexity
ts			CEO
ay Points	CEO	CEO	EVP
КҒ Нау	VP	VP	VP

It should be noted that the evaluation process only concerns itself with the skills, efforts and responsibilities required for competent performance. The evaluation points do not reflect incumbent-specific characteristics such as performance, actual pay, or years of service.



4. Methodology: Comparator Market

For this review, KF dataset is effective as of December 2023 with a total of 543 participating organizations. In aligning with NFP pay principles, 390 Commercial Industrial organizations of the total database have been selected for this review. The participant list of Commercial Industrial organizations is illustrated in Appendix C.

KF believes that it is reasonable for NFP to compare itself to the list of organizations in Appendix C because:

- Jobs are compared on a "point adjusted" basis which means they are compared to those of similar overall skill, effort and responsibility, and not necessarily on the basis of "same title"; and
- The organizations are comparably classified as "private sector commercial industrial" and NFP competes for its executive resources with organizations across the breadth and depth of business sectors across Canada.

5. Methodology: Statistical Percentile

This review will present comparative values at three percentile levels, being:

- The 25th percentile, which represents the compensation values at which twenty-five percent of the database observations pay less and seventy-five percent pay more;
- The Median (50th percentile or P50), which represents the compensation values at which fifty percent of the database observations pay less and fifty percent pay more; and
- The 75th percentile, which represents the compensation values at which seventyfive percent of the database observations pay less and twenty-five percent pay more.
- NFP sets its pay standards relative to Median (50th percentile or P50), which KF believes is reasonable because:
 - As a utility it is appropriate to compare to the standard of a broad market as opposed to only comparing against the higher or lower paying sectors; and
 - NFP has adopted a pay-for-performance principle in its compensation framework and in its determination of incumbent-specific salary and bonus values, such that higher performers will be appropriately paid above market standards (i.e., above P50), while those who have not yet proven themselves may not be fully paid to market standards (i.e., below P50).



6. Methodology: Compensation Elements

The review will consider the compensation elements as listed and defined below.

- Actual Base Salary: The actual annual base salary paid to the comparable database observations.
- Target Total Cash: Actual base salary plus an annual incentive (bonus) value that represents the target award assuming that all incentive provisions are accomplished exactly to plan.
- Target Total Direct: Target total cash plus a mid/long term incentive value (i.e., LTI) that represents the assumed annualized net present value of the mid/long term incentive grants.
- Target Total Remuneration: Target total direct plus a noncash value that is the estimated value of the sum of the employer provided benefit, perquisite, and retirement programs.

Further explanation of the calculation of the benefit items is found in Appendix D.



7. Compensation Analysis

Tables 2 and 3 below compare NFP compensation values to those of the comparator market. KF's valuation method is to apply the same economic and demographic assumptions and valuation standards across all database participants, for relative comparison purposes. Please refer to Appendix D for a more detailed explanation.

- Table 2 compares the values of all compensation elements previously defined in this report.
- Table 3 excludes the NFP compensation elements that are not borne by the ratepayers (i.e., NFP long-term incentive values and the non-regulated portion of the short-term incentive values).
- Note that NFP pay data reflect 2024 compensation values while comparator market data are as of December 2023.

Table 2 – Total Remuneration (All Compensation Elements)

Title		Points	Actual Base Salary (\$)	Target Total Cash (\$)	Long-Term * Incentive Present Value (Eligible) (\$)	Target Total Direct Compensation (\$)	Target Total Remuneration (\$)
President & CEO		2128	495,000	742,500	445,500	1,188,000	1,359,451
1	P75 P50 P25 NFP vs. P50		591,200 501,900 408,200 -1%	1,075,200 809,300 577,000 -8%	1,040,400 461,900 187,900 -4%	1,939,100 1,183,800 702,200 0%	2,064,400 1,300,800 768,400 5%
VP Finance & CFO		1486	345,000	465,750	138,000	603,750	712,339
1	P75 P50 P25 NFP vs. P50		410,200 339,000 280,600 2%	636,400 468,600 374,600 -1%	457,300 221,800 86,100 -38%	941,500 606,100 396,200 0%	1,054,000 669,500 427,900 6%
VP Engineering & I	Energy	1486	345,000	465,750	138,000	603,750	712,339
Supply	P75 P50 P25 NFP vs. P50		410,200 339,000 280,600 2%	636,400 468,600 374,600 -1%	457,300 221,800 86,100 -38%	941,500 606,100 396,200 0%	1,054,000 669,500 427,900 6%
VP Customer Oper	ations	1486	286,000	386,100	114,400	500,500	594,442
Notes	P75 P50 P25 NFP vs. P50		410,200 339,000 280,600 -16%	636,400 468,600 374,600 -18%	457,300 221,800 86,100 -48%	941,500 606,100 396,200 -17%	1,054,000 669,500 427,900 -11%

Notes

^{*} NFP LTI values reflect Policy LTI values.



Table 3 – Adjusted Total Remuneration (Non-Regulated Portion of STI and LTI are excluded for NFP)

Title	Points	Target Total Remuneration (\$)	Short-Term Incentive Non- Regulated Portion (\$)	Long-Term * Incentive Non- Regulated Portion (\$)	Adjusted ** Target Total Remuneration (\$)
President & CEO NFP Market F	P75 P50 P25 Vs. P50 Position	1,359,451 2,064,400 1,300,800 768,400 5%	(40,219)	(445,500)	873,732 2,064,400 1,300,800 768,400 -33% P30
VP Finance & CFO NFP Market F	1486 P75 P50 P25 vs. P50 Position	712,339 1,054,000 669,500 427,900 6%	(24,150)	(138,000)	550,189 1,054,000 669,500 427,900 -18% P38
VP Engineering & Energy Supply NFP Market F	P75 P50 P25 vs. P50	712,339 1,054,000 669,500 427,900 6%	(16,905)	(138,000)	557,434 1,054,000 669,500 427,900 -17% P38
VP Customer Operation NFP Market F	P75 P50 P25 vs. P50	594,442 1,054,000 669,500 427,900 -11%	(14,014)	(114,400)	466,028 1,054,000 669,500 427,900 -30% P29

^{*} NFP LTI values reflect Policy LTI values.

^{**} NFP total remuneration after non-rate payer adjustments (i.e., non-regulated STI and all LTI), but is compared to market data that includes full value of STI and LTI.



Appendix A – Consultant Biography

Wiclif Ma

Senior Client Partner, Total Rewards Leader, Canadian Executive Pay & Governance

E: wiclif.ma@kornferry.com

T: 1 (647) 798 3716 C: 1 (416) 562 6702



Delivering Results for Clients

Wiclif Ma is a Senior Client Partner for Korn Ferry, based in the firm's Toronto office.

He has provided executive pay and governance ("EP&G") advisory services to many major organizations in the industrial and financial sectors.

In his 25+ years at formerly Hay Group, Mr. Ma has worked with many global and local clients to define their executive pay strategy, design their total reward programs to support their business effectively, and articulate their pay governance standards and processes.

Expertise

Mr. Ma's expertise spans many areas related to executive pay matters, include pay strategy diagnostics, development of pay principles, assessment and modelling of performance metrics, assessment, and management of pay risk, regulatory compliance, governance and oversight, and market practices, etc.

He is the Canadian leader in EP&G expertise development, covering pay research, white paper development, methods for total incentive diagnostics & valuation, methodologies for total remuneration benchmarking and evaluation. He has also advised various clients in both public and private sectors with respect to benefits and pension (SERP) arrangements.

Academic and Professional Background

Prior to joining Korn Ferry, Wiclif led the remuneration function of a major utilities corporation in Hong Kong where he was responsible for the planning and implementation of pay and benefits policies.

Wiclif holds degrees in Business Administration and Economics from York University, in Toronto.



Appendix B – Korn Ferry Hay Guide Chart-Profile MethodSM of Job Evaluation

KF employs a proprietary method to conduct market pricing by utilizing job evaluation. We believe a title match may not be reliable if the roles are unique and the comparators are diverse. We will utilize the Korn Ferry Hay Guide Chart-Profile MethodSM of Job Evaluation ("KF Hay Method"), developed by Edward Hay in the early 1940s. It has been modified over the years to reflect the changing needs and evolution of organizations. Used by over 8,000 organizations in over 40 countries, it is the most widely used process for the evaluation of management, professional, technical, and administrative jobs in the world.

The method is based on KF's long experience (over 50 years) with both private and public sector clients. The method was first constructed to be a job evaluation technique, but its broader application in (A) compensation market pricing, (B) both job and organizational analysis has made it a useful management tool. Two principles are fundamental to the KF Hay Method:

- An understanding of the content of the job to be measured.
- The direct comparison of one job with another job to determine relative value.

The comparison is made between different aspects of total job content, defined as know-how, problem solving and accountability. The sum of these measures, expressed in job evaluation "points", represents the value of the whole job.

Know-How +	Problem Solving +	Accountability =	Korn Ferry Hay Points	
Know How - knowledge and skill needed for acceptable job performance:	Problem Solving - This factor measures the thinking required in the job by considering two dimensions:	Accountability - This factor measures:	The sum of Know-How, Problem Solving and Accountability It is important to note that all positions in Korn Ferry database have been evaluated using the same method.	
 Technical know-how. Managerial know-how. People know-how. 	 Environment in which the thinking takes place. Challenge presented by the thinking to be done. 	 Nature and degree of the decision-making or influence of the job. Unit or function most clearly affected by the job. Nature of that effect. 		



Appendix C - Participants in Commercial Industrial Market

3M Canada Company

AbeBooks

Abercrombie & Fitch Co. - Abercrombie

AB-InBev Group Acciona AGCO Corporation Agnico-Eagle Mines Limited Air New Zealand Air Products Canada Ltd. Alamos Gold Inc. Allied Universal Allkem Canadá

Allnex Canada Inc. ALS Canada Ltd.

ALSTOM Power Canada Inc

Amazon Canada Amcor Rigid Plastics Amgen Canada, Inc (CA) Amway Canada Corporation

Annapurna Labs AOC Aliancys

ArcelorMittal Mines Canada

ArcelorMittal TOPEX

ArcelorMittal Tubular Products Canada G.P. Archer-Daniels-Midland Company (Canada) Ltd. Arctic Canadian Diamond Company Ltd.

Argano Consulting, Inc.

Argen-X Argonaut Gold Inc. Arlanxeo Canada Inc. Armacell Canada Inc. Artemis Gold

AT&T Inc.

ATCO Wood Products Ltd. Atlantic Gold Corporation

Autoliv

Avis Budget Group, Inc.

B2Gold Corp.

Baker Hughes Canada Company

Ball Aerosol Packaging Ball Corporation

Ball Metal Beverage Packaging

Barilla

Barrick Gold Corporation BASF Canada Inc. Bass Pro Shops Bath and Body Works Beaulieu International Group

Bell Canada

Bericap North America Inc. BHP Billiton Canada Inc. BHP Billiton Limited BigSteelBox Corporation Biogen Canada Inc

BMW AG

Boehringer Ingelheim (Canada) Ltd.

Booz Allen Hamilton Boston Consulting Group

Brambles Brampton Brick

Bristol-Myers Squibb Canada Co. British American Tobacco British Columbia Ferry Services

Burberry Canada Burger King Corporation Cabot Canada Ltd. Canpotex Limited Capri Holdings Limited Capstone Mining Corp.

Carrier Corporation Carter's Canada

Caterpillar of Canada Corporation

Centerra Gold Inc. Centric Brands

CEPSA Química Bécancour Ceva Sante Animale

Chemours Advanced Performance Materials (APM) Chemours Thermal & Specialized Solutions (TSS)

Chemours Titanium Technologies

Chrysos Corporation Church & Dwight Canada

Cloudflare COLAS SA Coloplast Conduent, Inc. Construction Continental AG

Copper Mountain Mining Corporation

Corbion Canada Corteva Agriscience

COWI

CPAS Systems Inc. CRH Canada Group Inc. CSG International Danfoss Canada Dart Canada Inc **DB** Schenker Deckers

Delicato Family Wines Delta Air Lines, Inc. Desigual

Devanlay Lacoste

Digital Boundary Group

DNP Dollar Tree, Inc. **Dow Chemical Company** Dr. Oetker Canada Ltd. Dymax Corporation Dyno Nobel Canada Inc.

Eaton Corporation

Eberspaecher Climate Control Systems Canada Inc.

EcoOnline AS

Eddyfi EDP Renewables Egger Group Eileen Fisher Electrolux Element Solutions Elemental Technologies Elexicon Energy Epocal Inc.

Eppendorf

Eppendorf North America, Inc. ESC Corporate Services Ltd.

Evolution Mining Evonik Canada Inc. **Exact Sciences** Ferrero Canada Limited First Majestic Silver Corp. First Quantum Minerals Fisher & Paykel Healthcare Inc. Flowserve Canada Corporation

Fossil Canada Franklin Electric Freeport McMoran Frulact - Canada Fundserv Inc.

G2 Ocean Shipping Canada Ltd. Gallant Custom Laboratories, Inc

Gap (Canada) Inc.

Gerdau Long Steel North America Glencore Canada Corporation - Copper Glencore Canada Corporation - Nickel - Sudbury

Glencore Canada Corporation - Zinc

Global Infrastructure Hub Grafana Labs Granite Construction Inc. Grieg Seafood BC Griffith Foods Limited Groupe LACTALIS Groupe SEB Canada Inc. Grundfos Canada Inc. GS1 Canada

H & M Hennes & Mauritz AB

Haleon Halliburton

Hankook Tire Canada Corp.

Hempel A/S Hendrix Genetics Hettich Group

Hikma Pharmaceuticals & Holding

Hilti (Canada) Corporation Holt Renfrew Home Depot Honda Canada Inc.

Hood Packaging Corporation

Hotelbeds

Hottinger Bruel & Kjaer Inc. HudBay Minerals Inc. Hugo Boss Canada Huntsman Polyurethanes

Husky Injection Molding Systems Ltd.

Hyundai

IAMGOLD Corporation

IFF Nutrition and Biosciences

IMDb

Impala Canada Ltd. Incitec Pivot Limited Indivior Ineos Composites INEOS Group

Information Services Corporation

International Flavors & Fragrances Canada Ltd.

Intrepid Travel Pty. Ltd. Ivanhoe Mines Ltd. Jaguar Land Rover Jamieson Wellness Inc. Jimmy Choo

John Deere Limited Canada



Appendix C - Participants in Commercial Industrial Market

JTI-Macdonald Corp. K92 Mining Inc.

Kaizen Digital Services Single Partner S.A.

KBC Process Technology Ltd Kellogg Canada Inc. Kemira Chemicals Canada Inc. Kemira Water Solutions

Kimberly-Clark Corporation Kinross Gold Corporation

Klockner-Pentaplast Knorr-Bremse Canada Kongsberg Maritime Canada Ltd.

Koninklijke Vopak Kubota Canada Ltd. Labcorp Laerdal Medical

Lake Shore Gold Corp. Lantic Inc. Lavazza Group

Lhoist North America, Inc. Louis Drevfus Commodities Lundin Mining Corporation

LVMH Moet Hennessy Louis Vuitton S.A.

Maersk

LEGO

Magna International Inc. Magotteaux International

MAHLE Filter Systems North America, Inc.

Mallinckrodt Pharmaceuticals Malvern Panalytical Mammoet Worldwide Marathon Gold

Marshall Aerospace and Defence Group

Mary Kay Mazda Canada McElhannev Ltd.

McEwen Mining Inc. - Canada Mediterranean Shipping Company

Mega Group Inc. Melitta Canada Inc. Messer Canada Inc. Mexichem Fluor Canada Inc.

Michael Kors Michaels Stores, Inc.

Michelin North America (Canada) Inc.

Mission Group Enterprises Mitsubishi Canada Limited Moncler S.p.A.

Moores Clothing for Men Moosehead Breweries Mphasis Limited NDT Global Ltd. Nestle Canada New Gold Inc.

Newcrest Mining Ltd.

Newmont Mining Corporation - (Canada)

Nissan

Nokian Tyres Canada Inc. Nutreco Canada Inc. Obrascon Huarte Laín

Occidental Chemical Corporation Okanagan Nation Alliance

Oldcastle Building Products Canada, Inc.

Omega Engineering Orla Mining Ltd. Össur Americas Pandora Jewelry LTD Parker Hannifin Corporation Pattern Energy Group Inc. Permian Industries Ltd.

Perry Ellis International Canada Persistent System Ltd Philip Morris Canada Plaza Premium Group

Pluralsight Popeye's Louisiana Kitchen

Porsche Powell Industries PRYSMIAN PVH Canada Corp. Qualico

Rain Carbon Canada Ralph Lauren Corporation Randstad Global

Red Bull Red Lion Controls

Reflex Instruments North America Limited Resideo Technologies

Restaurant Brands International

Rheinmetall AG Richemont Rio Tinto Aluminium Riversdale Resources Limited

Rocket Software Rolls-Royce Canada - Civil Aerospace Rolls-Royce Canada - Defence Rolls-Royce Canada Ltd.

ROSEN Canada Ltd Rothmans, Benson & Hedges Inc.

ROTORK PLC Royal DSM RTRC.

SABIC Innovative Plastics Canada Incorporated

Sally Beauty Holdings, Inc. Samuel, Son & Co., Limited Sarens

Save on Foods SBM Offshore

Schweitzer Engineering Laboratories

SciPlay Corporation SeneGence International

Sennheiser Electronic GmbH & Co. KG Septodont - Novocal Pharmaceuticals

Servomex

Sherritt International Corporation

SHPP Canada Inc. Siegwerk Canada Inc. Siemens Canada Limited Sika Canada Inc.

Smurfit Kappa Bag-In-Box Canada

Sofina Foods Inc.

StandardAero

Solar Turbines Incorporated Solvay Canada

South32 Limited - Canada Spectrum Brands

Standex International -- Mold-Tech - Canada Standex International -- ATC Frost Magnetics

Staples, Inc.

Star Diamond Corporation

Subway Franchise World Headquarters LLC

Suncorp Valuations SVITZER SwordFish Symcor Inc. Syncreon Syngenta Group Tafisa Canada

Tech Data Canada Corporation Teck Resources Limited Tessenderlo Kerley International

Tetra Pak The Beer Store The Chemours Company The Mosaic Company The Weir Group PLC Ticketmaster Tim Horton's TIP Trailer Services TJX Companies

TMF Canada Operations Inc. Tolko Industries Ltd. Torex Gold Resources Inc.

Torrid

Toyota Canada Inc.

Toyota Motor Manufacturing Canada Inc.

Transurban Group Trilogy Metals Inc. Trivium Packaging Twitch

uniPHARM Wholesale Drugs Ltd.

UPM Raflatac VAISALA OYJ Vale Canada Limited Valentino SPA Valmet Ltd. Valvoline Canada Corp.

Van Hessen Versace VF Corporation Victoria Secret VinFast

Viscosity Oil Company Volkswagen Volvo Canada

Wallenius Wilhelmsen Logistics Vehicle Services, Ltd.

Wal-Mart Canada Corp.

Warby Parker

Wärtsilä Canada Incorporated

WD-40 Products Canada Ltd. Westech Building Products ULC Westlake Chemical Canada Inc. Westmoreland Mining LLC - Canada

Williams-Sonoma, Inc.

WILO SE Wilton

WNS Global Services

Zapier

Zebra Technologies Corporation

Zensar



Appendix D – Korn Ferry Benefit Valuation Methodology

For the purpose of evaluating benefit plan competitiveness (not actual cost), KF utilizes a proprietary actuarial valuation methodology to evaluate benefit plans based on the cash equivalence value of the benefits.

The following are the key considerations.

- The comparison of actual benefit cost between employers is generally affected by differences in demographic, claim experience, plan coverage and features, and actuarial assumptions etc.
- In evaluating a program's competitiveness, KF's benefit valuation model uses "standard cost assumptions", instead of a company's specific costs, which eliminates the impact of cost variables, as mentioned above.
- KF's valuation model places a relative cash equivalent value on each specific feature
 of a benefit program. The value for each plan is then compiled to produce an overall
 program value appropriate for market comparison. In general, the more generous the
 feature, the higher the relative value. For example, life insurance coverage of 3x salary
 is more valuable than 2x salary.
- For benefit items, which are conditional on the occurrence of an event such as disability, death or continuous employment in an organization, the cash equivalent value has been calculated on the basis of the probability of receiving such items using appropriate actuarial assumptions. For items such as cars and other perquisites which have an immediate value, the cash equivalent value has been calculated on the basis of the most probable average projected replacement cost in Canada.

For compensation comparison, only those benefit programs over and above minimum statutory requirements are valued in our report. KF benefit values take into account the employer-paid portion only and exclude holidays and vacations.

About Korn Ferry

Korn Ferry is a global organizational consulting firm. We help clients synchronize strategy and talent to drive superior performance. We work with organizations to design their structures, roles, and responsibilities. We help them hire the right people to bring their strategy to life, and we advise them on how to reward, develop, and motivate their people.

© 2024 Korn Ferry

